

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,652	09/12/2003	Richard T. Knadle JR.	022.0008 (1630)	9349
29906 7	590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER	
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C. 7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325			DINH, TRINH VO	
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	·	2821	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/661,652	KNADLE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trinh Vo Dinh	2821				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE</u>	filed 06/30/2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-15,17-41,43 and 44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17-40,43 and 44</u> is/are allowed.						
· -	Claim(s) <u>2-15,41</u> is/are rejected.						
·	•						
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment	• •						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/28/2006</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to an RCE filed June 30, 3006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-9 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl et al (US 6,353,406 of record) in view of Huang (US 5,220,335 of record).

Respecting claim 41, Lanzl discloses a processing module (2300) configured to operate as a handheld, portable device RFID interrogator (col. 1, lines 25-30, and col. 15, lines 19-25), a directional antenna (2312, 2314). However, Lanzl does not suggest the antenna being an antenna array having a driven element and a first parasitic element. Huang discloses an antenna array (10) having a driven element (12) and a first parasitic element (14) separated from said driven element, wherein at least one of said first parasitic element and said driven element have a width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Huang antenna array to Lanzl tag system in order to achieve highly directional antenna patterns and provide a low profile antenna as well.

With respect to claims 2-4, Huang discloses said width is greater than about four percent (4%) of said free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43).

With respect to claim 5, Huang discloses a second parasitic element (16) that is separated from said driven element (12), wherein said at least one of said first parasitic element, said driven element and said second parasitic element has said width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43).

With respect to claim 6, Huang discloses a plurality of parasitic elements (16, 18) in addition to said first parasitic element (14).

With respect to claims 7-9, Huang discloses the first parasitic element (14) and a second parasitic element (16) being at least substantially in-plane elements (col. 3, lines 10-13), and the first parasitic element (14) being a reflector element and the second parasitic element (16) being a director element (col. 3, lines 10+).

3. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl as modified by Huang, and further in view of Woodard et al (US 2003/0125725 A1 of record).

With respect to claim 10 and 12, Huang and Lanzl disclose every feature of the claimed invention except the antenna elements being formed of a monolithic material as spring steel. Woodland discloses an antenna being formed of spring steel (paragraph [0091]). However, selecting a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended uses as a matter of obvious design choice. Therefore, choosing spring steel as a material for Huang' antenna elements has been deem obvious to one having skill in the art.

With respect to claim 11, choosing resistivity for a material has been well known in the art to achieve a desired radiating parameters such as providing optimum absorption of the emitted radiation (for Applicant's information, the teaching is found in US Patent 5,493,704, col.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,652 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

2, lines 37-46). Therefore, selecting the resistivity for monolithic material as being greater than about 0.2×10^{-6} ohms-meter would have been obvious to one having skill in the art.

4. Claim 13 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl as modified by Huang, and further in view of Chen et al (USP 6,809,699 of record).

Huang does not suggest a plurality of apertures in the driven element and the parasitic element. Chen discloses, in Fig. 3, the antenna element (20, 30) having a plurality of apertures (60, col. 48-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Huang's antenna elements with plurality of apertures as taught by Chen in order to reduce the electrical length of the antenna therefore improve the antenna's performances.

5. Claims 14-15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl as modified by Huang, and further in view of MacDonald, Jr. et al (USP 6,061,036 of record).

Huang and Lanzl disclose every feature of the claimed invention except at least a portion of the antenna elements being covered with an elastomer. MacDonald discloses a driven element (18) and parasitic elements (26) being covered with elastomer layers (abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to cover Huang's antenna elements with elastomer dielectric layers as taught by MacDonald. Doing so would provide the a7ntenna elements with highly flexural characteristic so that the antenna elements can be bent without permanent deformation (as disclosed by MacDonald, col. 1, lines 54-67).

Allowed Subjected Matter

6. Claims 17-40 and 43-44 are presently allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,652 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The cited art of record fails to teach the balun structure comprising a dipole structure, a first feed point extending from said dipole structure, and a second feed point extending from said first parasitic element as defined in claims 17, 21 and 43.

Inquiry

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh Vo Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 2821 July 06, 2006

TRINH DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER